14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

Craig Sanders (Cal Bar 284397) csanders@sanderslaw.group SANDERS LAW GROUP 333 Earle Ovington Blvd, Suite 402 Uniondale, NY 11553 Telephone: (516) 203-7600

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Reed Timmer,

Plaintiff,

v.

Speed Engineering & Performance Corporation,

Defendant.

Case No:

COMPLAINT FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT UNDER 17 U.S.C. §501

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff Reed Timmer ("*Plaintiff*"), by and through his undersigned counsel, for his Complaint against defendant Speed Engineering & Performance Corporation ("*Defendant*") states and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This action seeks to recover damages for copyright infringement under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C §101 *et seq*.
- 2. Plaintiff created a video of hail destroying a vehicle (the "*Video*") in which Plaintiff owns the rights and licenses for various uses including online and print publications.

15

17

25

- Upon information and belief, Defendant is a well-known name in the 3. aftermarket performance parts industry specializing in a vast array of products for the LS and LT engine platforms. Defendant also manufactures parts and has released several new lines of unique products for its customers.
- In furtherance of its business, Defendant owns and operates an Instagram Account with the name @speedengineering ("Account 1") which has 170,000 followers.
- 5. Defendant owns and operates a Facebook Account with the name Speed Engineering ("Account 2") which has 38,000 followers.
- Defendant, without permission or authorization from Plaintiff, actively 6. copied and displayed the Video on the Accounts to further its business and brand and engaged in this misconduct knowingly and in violation of the United States copyright laws.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff Reed Timmer is an individual who is a citizen of the State of 7. Colorado and maintains a principal place of business in Jefferson County, Colorado.
- 8. Upon information and belief, defendant Speed Engineering & Performance Corporation, is a California corporation with a principal place of business at 4993 East Dakota Avenue, Fresno in Fresno County, California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 9. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the federal copyright infringement claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1338(a) and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it 10. maintains its principal place of business in California.
- Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2) because Defendant does business in this Judicial District and/or because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.

17

22

26

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

Plaintiff's Copyright Ownership A.

- Plaintiff is a professional videographer by trade who is the legal and 12. rightful owner of certain videos which Plaintiff commercially licenses.
- Plaintiff has invested significant time and money in building Plaintiff's 13. video portfolio.
- Plaintiff has obtained active and valid copyright registrations from the 14. United States Copyright Office (the "USCO") which cover many of Plaintiff's videos while many others are the subject of pending copyright applications.
- Plaintiff's videos are original, creative works in which Plaintiff owns 15. protectable copyright interests.
- On May 28, 2024, Plaintiff first published the Video. A copy of the 16. Video is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- In creating the Video, Plaintiff personally selected the subject matter, 17. timing, lighting, angle, perspective, depth, lens, and camera equipment used to capture the image and made each and every artistic determination necessary for the creation of the work.
- On June 21, 2024, the Video was registered by the USCO under 18. Registration No. PA 2-481-680.
- Plaintiff created the Video with the intention of it being used 19. commercially and for the purpose of display and/or public distribution.

B. **Defendant's Infringing Activity**

- 20. Defendant is the registered owner of the Accounts and is responsible for their content.
- Defendant is the operator of the Accounts and is responsible for their 21. content.
 - 22. The Accounts are key components of Defendant's popular and lucrative

commercial enterprise.

- 23. Upon information and belief, Defendant has not implemented adequate internal policies to verify copyright ownership before content use, indicating a gross negligence in legal compliance, which is essential for a company with Defendant's reach, capabilities, and level of sophistication.
- 24. Upon information and belief, Defendant's internal policies, if any, are either not designed to verify copyright ownership before content use or are systematically ignored, indicating a willful, recurring disregard for copyright compliance.
- 25. Defendant's failure to adopt or effectively enforce internal copyright policies, if any, indicates *de facto* willful infringements.
- 26. On or about June 12, 2024, without permission or authorization from Plaintiff, Defendant volitionally copied and displayed Defendant displayed the Video on Account 1 as part of a social media post at URL: https://www.instagram.com/speedengineering/reel/C8HvoqMJKjm/.
- ("Infringement 1"). A copy of a screengrab of Account 1 including the Video is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- 27. On or about June 12, 2024, without permission or authorization from Plaintiff, Defendant volitionally copied and displayed Defendant displayed the Video on Account 2 as part of a social media post at URL: https://www.facebook.com/reel/1406211676753052. A copy of a screengrab of Account 2 including the Video is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- 28. Upon information and belief, the Video was copied and displayed by Defendant without license or permission, thereby infringing on Plaintiff's copyrights in and to the Video (hereinafter the unauthorized uses set forth above is referred to as the "*Infringements*").
 - 29. The Infringements includes a URL ("Uniform Resource Locator") for

8

11

14

23

a fixed tangible medium of expression that was sufficiently permanent or stable to permit it to be communicated for a period of more than transitory duration and therefore constitutes a specific infringement.

- 30. The Infringements is an exact copy of Plaintiff's original video that was directly copied and displayed by Defendant on the Accounts.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant takes an active and pervasive 31. role in the content posted on its Accounts, including, but not limited to copying, posting, selecting, commenting on and/or displaying images including but not limited to Plaintiff's Video.
- Upon information and belief, the Video was willfully and volitionally 32. posted to the Accounts by Defendant.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant was aware of facts or 33. circumstances from which the determination regarding the Infringements was apparent. Defendant cannot claim that it was not aware of the infringing activities, including the specific Infringements which form the basis of this complaint, since such a claim would amount to only willful blindness to the Infringements on the part of Defendant.
- 34. Upon information and belief, Defendant engaged in the Infringements knowingly and in violation of applicable United States copyright laws.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant has the legal right and ability 35. to control and limit the infringing activities on its Accounts and exercised and/or had the right and ability to exercise such right.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant monitors the content on its 36. Accounts.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant has received a financial benefit 37. directly attributable to the Infringements.
 - 38. Upon information and belief, the Infringements increased traffic to the

8

18

27

Accounts and, in turn, caused Defendant to realize an increase its business revenue.

- 39. Upon information and belief, a large number of people have viewed the unlawful copy of the Video on the Accounts.
- 40. Upon information and belief, Defendant at all times had the ability to stop the reproduction and display of Plaintiff's copyrighted material.
 - Defendant's use of the Video harmed the actual market for the Video. 41.
- 42. Defendant's use of the Video, if widespread, would harm Plaintiff's potential market for the Video.
- 43. On August 8, 2024, Plaintiff, via counsel, served a letter seeking to address the complaints contained herein concerning Defendant's infringements of Plaintiff's rights-protected work.
- 44. Thereafter, on September 9, 2024, Plaintiff, via counsel, served a letter seeking to address the complaints contained herein concerning Defendant's infringements of Plaintiff's rights-protected work.
- Despite Plaintiff's efforts and willingness to address Defendant's 45. infringing activity Defendant failed to respond and Plaintiff was forced to seek judicial intervention for Defendant's infringing activity.
- Further, despite Plaintiff's notification to Defendant concerning its 46. infringing activity, Defendant continues to infringe on Plaintiff's work on Account 1 thereby establishing the willful nature of its conduct.
- As a result of Defendant's misconduct, Plaintiff has been substantially 47. harmed.

FIRST COUNT

(Direct Copyright Infringement, 17 U.S.C. §501 et seq.)

- Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations contained 48. in the preceding paragraphs, as though set forth in full herein.
 - 49. The Video is an original, creative work in which Plaintiff owns a valid

11

1

2

3

copyright.

24

26 27

14 15 16

17 18

21

25

28

50. The Video is properly registered with the USCO and Plaintiff has complied with all statutory formalities under the Copyright Act and under regulations published by the USCO.

- Plaintiff has not granted Defendant a license or the right to use the 51. Video in any manner, nor has Plaintiff assigned any of its exclusive rights in the copyright to Defendant.
- 52. Without permission or authorization from Plaintiff and in willful violation of Plaintiff's rights under 17 U.S.C. §106, Defendant improperly and illegally copied, reproduced, distributed, adapted, and/or publicly displayed works copyrighted by Plaintiff thereby violating one of Plaintiff's exclusive rights in its copyrights.
- Defendant's reproduction of the Video and display of the Video 53. constitutes willful copyright infringement.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant willfully infringed upon 54. Plaintiff's copyrighted Video in violation of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, in that Defendant used, published, communicated, posted, publicized, and otherwise held out to the public for commercial benefit, Plaintiff's original and unique Video without Plaintiff's consent or authority, by using it on the Accounts.
- As a result of Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, 55. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits attributable to the infringements as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504 in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against each Defendant for each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).
- As a result of the Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, 56. the court in its discretion may allow the recovery of full costs as well as reasonable

attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505 from Defendant.

As a result of Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, 57. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief to prevent or restrain infringement of Plaintiff's copyright pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury. 58.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

That the Court enters a judgment finding that Defendant has infringed on Plaintiff's rights to the Video in violation of 17 U.S.C. §501 et seq. and therefore award damages and monetary relief as follows:

- finding that Defendant infringed Plaintiff's copyright interest in a. and to the Video by copying and displaying it without a license or consent;
- b. for an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits attributable to the infringements as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504(b) in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against each Defendant for each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), whichever is larger;
- for an order pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502(a) enjoining Defendant c. from any infringing use of any of Plaintiff's works;
- for costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees against d. Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505
- for pre-judgment interest as permitted by law; and e.
- f. for any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: April 9, 2025

SANDERS LAW GROUP

By: <u>/s/ Craig Sanders</u>
Craig Sanders, Esq. (Cal Bar 284397)
333 Earle Ovington Blvd, Suite 402

Uniondale, NY 11553 Tel: (516) 203-7600

Email: csanders@sanderslaw.group

File No.: 130569

Attorneys for Plaintiff